World Bank Led Development – Recent and Earlier – With A Qualitative Development Approach

By Shomit Sirohi

I. Third World Development and Developing Countries

In fact Fidel Castro argues that the third world has a history of colonization which then becomes a bourgeois revolution and succeeds at independence and develops usually in public sector forms and has in India a form of capitalism but in fact not in Latin America. Lula begins as a World Bank oriented politician but then becomes a national and domestic capitalist supporter these days. 'What's the problem, I have capital and public sector models which goes into economic debate, that World Bank is now into financialisation more, than earlier, what about equality, justice for the poor, I am more interested in Tsipiras who argues that public sector companies, and private sector worlds of companies then organise a discipline of a fine prudence and deficit combined which then becomes a development model which is all about the poor. I mean which should include, healthcare, architecture, public education and even mass protest – get me now – MST is my answer to World Bank.'

In India then the developing country from the 1990s standpoint it is liberalisation one goes for and develops according to World Bank loans which impose structural adjustment programs, hold FDI and FII debates which then financially develops the capitalism of India with American capital which is the flow of hot-money-flows Patnaik argues, as what Sitaram Yechury argues is not just trade and financial trade or agrarian deals one makes but in fact something to the tune of 400 billion in FII and perhaps some FDI arguments which then is the notion of financialisation and globalization which inter-sect as the American globalization which attacks though the labour poor which then have to enter into resistance.

Prabhat Patnaik argues that hot-money-flows, are also financial flows – in the value of money, he calls this monetarism which competes and disciplines in fact state propertiesm – now there are levels to his model – the first level if cash-flows, which are simply jajamani relations without commodity-culture in Kerala and then there is Hyderabad which is commodity-cash-flows which then becomes a mass argument which is the impersonal markets of Wal-Mart type which then introduces one general analysis that in fact financial imperialism from American capitalists and multinational companies then introduce a commodification which means in fact – many types of FDI in retail which is limited then by the state into a ethical justice argument – resist commodificiation.

So in fact Americanisation or globalization means sweatshops Naomi Klein argues in a basic juxtaposition of poor people struggling and Nike talking to his advertisers.

II. Models in Patnaik

In fact the commodity-form which then has commodification planned which then is limited by the state recently into an architectural argument in fact which then models from the state to capital – foreign and domestic or national monopoly type which then organises – financial flows of a certain regulated rate mediated by the state which limits the process of World Bank led development which then becomes flows to Europe and America in structural adjustment which then is the financialisation of in fact recent times which though is resisted by the masses and therefore becomes – cash-flows-commodity-culture, an axis from America and World bank to develop cities and attack the poor who resist by CPIM, ML and CPI which then is the next level of money which is state public investment to the poor which then limits the World Bank and globalization again which then has public sector company development which then has finally 'New ways of Working' with Sirohi who argues for curation, and architectural advice with in fact his own company which works in America and advises Indian companies which then is the next level of money which develops qualitatively India and aids actually Europe and America more.

III. 'New ways of Working'

Daily lectures, that Fidel Castro argues means in fact a new way of working, which then organises the base and superstructure even of Cuba and Algeria as basically – bureaucratic French or Cuban Spanish reports, simple construction arguments on architecture, with high experimental forms of working on that, accounting and economics, Glucksman recently as simple economics for Spain and even finally models of developmental statistics and finally computer and free software movement conversation.

All of this is not Sirohi's duty but a trust in leaders, and the law – that he is in fact Leader of the mass revolt.